

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/740,254	12/18/2003	Hisatoshi Masuda	AA-555F	1912
27752 7	7590 11/17/2005		EXAMINER	
	ER & GAMBLE CO	LAMM, MARINA		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/740,254	MASUDA ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Marina Lamm	1616
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 C This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
		ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.
_	ion of Claims Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-8 and 12-14</u> is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 9-11</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	withdrawn from consideration.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	cepted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing (s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)[a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Application Inity documents have been receive In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment	t(s)		
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/27/04</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	

Application/Control Number: 10/740,254 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, Claims 1, 2, 10 and 11, in the reply filed on 10/24/05 is acknowledged. Upon reconsideration, Group V, Claims 3 and 9, has been rejoined with Group I.

2. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the claimed "compositions and methods are so closely related that it would not present an undue burden on the Examiner to examine the art". This is not found persuasive because the search required for Group I is not required for other Groups, since the claims in those Groups recite additional ingredients

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made **FINAL**.

3. Claims pending are 1-14. Claims 4-8 and 12-14 have been withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to a nonelected invention. Claims 1-3 and 9-11 are presently examined on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Art Unit: 1616

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and (e) as being anticipated by Esser et al. (US 6,241,976), supplied by the Applicant.

Esser et al. teach antiperspirant formulations containing a structured polyol-in-silicone emulsion. See Abstract; col. 25, lines 7-35. The emulsions of Esser et al. contain cetyl dimethicone and glycerol in the claimed amounts and proportions. See col. 22-23, Example 2, especially Examples 2.2-2.4 and 2.8-2.10.

Thus, Esser et al. teach each and every limitation of Claims 1-3 and 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Esser et al. (US 6,241,976).

Esser et al. teach antiperspirant formulations containing a structured polyol-in-silicone emulsion as discussed above. With respect to Claims 10 and 11, the reference teaches a method for making such emulsions by (1) combining ingredients of a continuous silicone phase, including cetyl dimethicone copolyol, at a temperature of 5-10° C *above* the temperature at which the structurant is dissolved; (2) preparing the disperse phase by dissolving antiperspirant active in polyol and water at the same

temperature as the continuous phase; and (3) combining the two phases by mixing them "at higher speed" for 5 minutes. See col. 25, lines 7-35. The reference does not explicitly teach the claimed speed and temperature. However, the determination of optimal or workable speed and temperature within the reference's generic disclosure by routine experimentation is obvious absent showing of criticality of the claimed parameters. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to obtain the desired dissolution of the ingredients and homogeneity of the composition.

Conclusion

8. No claim is allowed at this time.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marina Lamm whose telephone number is (571) 272-0618. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 11am to 7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan, can be reached at (571) 272-0629.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marina Lamm

SREEN! PADMANABHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER